2018 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD

Louisiana State University and A&M College

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & Louisiana State University System

Public Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

				BASIC PROGRAM	INFORMATI	ON					
Program We	b Site	http://www.l	su.edu/	chse/education							
Approval/Accreditation		Names of Agencies									
		State: Board	Approved								
		State: Board	Approved								
		Regional: Sou (SACSCOC)	Accredited								
		National: Na	Accredited								
		Education Ac									
		Preparation (
Type of Prog	ram	Traditional (U	Indergr	aduate)							
				CANDIDATE SELE	CTION PROF	ILE					
Academic Strength		Completer Pa	100%								
		Median GPA	3.15								
		Median GPA	3.38								
		Number of Ca	Data Not Yet								
		(by 2015-16)	Available								
Teaching Pro	omise	Data not yet	Data not yet available.								
Candidates/		Candidates	Enrolled			Completers			Total		
Completer		(2015-16)	739				175			914	
Diversity		Enrolled						Females			
		Gender	129			610			_		
		Enrolled	Hispar	1	Asian		lack	Islande		Multi-Racial	
		Race	36	3	11		69	2	604	13	
		KNO									
Knowledge	Content	Completer Pa	100%								
	Pedagogical	Completer Pa	100%								
Overall		Completer Pa	100%								
Clinical Experiences		Student Clock Hours of Clinical Experiences Prior to Student Teaching						180			
		Teaching Clo		lock Hours of Clinical Experiences			Number of		Number of	Total Number of	
			Du	uring Student Teaching			Weeks		Clock Hours per Week	Clock Hours	
							14		35	490	
Licensure Requirements		Number and Percentage of 2015-16 Completers That Meet State Licensing 100%									
		Requirements									
Completer R	ating	Data Not Yet	Availab	le							
	P	ROGRAM PRO	DUCTIV	ITY AND ALIGNM	ENT TO STAT	ΓΕ ΝΕ	EDS OF	COMPLE.	TERS		
Entry and Persistence in		Percentage &	47% (n=82)								
Teaching in Public		Percentage &	Data Not Yet								
Schools in Louisiana											
		2011-12	& 2016-17								
(Please examine the 2018		Number of		Number &	Number &		Numl		Number &	Number &	
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately		2011-12 Completers		Percentage Teaching in	Percentage Teaching in		Perce Teach	_	Percentage Teaching in	Percentage Teaching in	
interpret the meaning of these		Completers		2012-13	2013-14		2014	_	2015-16	2016-17	
scores.)		100%		48%	51%		52	%	49%	46%	
		(n=149) (n=71) (n=76) (n=77) (n=73)						(n=68)			

2018 LOUISIANA TEACHER PREPARATION DATA DASHBOARD (CONT'D)

Louisiana State University and A&M College

Prepared by Louisiana Board of Regents & Louisiana State University System

Public Undergraduate Teacher Preparation Program

PERFORMANO	CE AS CLASSROOM TEACHERS (NEW TE	ACHERS WITH	LESS THAN TWO Y	EARS OF TEACHING	G)					
Impact on	Mean Compass Student Outcome	Compass Student Outcome Mean & Number of Scores								
K-12 Students				3.3						
	17) and Number of Scores for All	(n=537)								
(Please examine the 2018	New Teachers with Less than Two									
Louisiana Teacher Preparation										
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Years of Teaching Percentage and Number of 2014-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Student Outcome Scores								
scores.)	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective					
,	Student Outcome Scores for the				<i>J</i> ,					
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	2%	9%	29%	61%					
	Effectiveness Levels	(n=10)	(n=46)	(n=155)	(n=326)					
Demonstrated Teaching	Mean Compass Professional	Compass Professional Practice Mean & Number of Scores								
Skill	Practice Score (2014-15, 2015-16,	3.1								
	& 2016-17) and Number of Scores	(n=537)								
(Please examine the 2018	for All New Teachers with Less than	(557)								
Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Two Years of Teaching									
Data Fact Book to accurately interpret the meaning of these	Percentage and Number of 2014-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Professional Practice Scores								
scores.)	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 <i>Compass</i>	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective					
	Professional Practice Scores for the	0%	11%	62%	27%					
	New Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=60)	(n=330)	(n=146)					
	Effectiveness Levels									
Overall Impact and	Mean Compass Final Evaluation	Compass Final Evaluation Mean & Number of Scores								
Demonstrated Teaching	Score (2014-15, 2015-16, & 2016-	3.3								
Skill	17) and Number of Scores for New		(n=	(n=537)						
	Teachers with Less than Two Years									
(Please examine the 2018	of Teaching									
Louisiana Teacher Preparation Data Fact Book to accurately	Percentage and Number of 2014-	Compass Teacher Effectiveness Levels for Final Evaluation Scores								
interpret the meaning of these	15, 2015-16, & 2016-17 Compass	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective					
scores.)	Final Evaluation Scores for the New	1%	9%	53%	37%					
	Teachers by LDOE Teacher	(n=≤10)	(n=46)	(n=286)	(n=199)					
	Effectiveness Levels									
State Value Added Scores	Content Areas	Mean, Number of Scores, & Effectiveness Levels for Value-Added Scores of Ten or More New Teachers with Less Than Two Years of Teaching who Taught During 2014-15, 2015-16, and 2016-17 -1.6 (n=39)								
for New Teachers in										
Grades 4-8 with Less than	Mathematics									
Two Years of Teaching by										
Content Areas (Ten or		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective					
More New Teachers)		18%	39%	33%	10%					
(8)		(n=≤10)	(n=15)	(n=13)	(n=≤10)					
(Please examine the 2018 Louisiana Teacher Preparation	Science		-0.4							
Data Fact Book to accurately			(n=34)							
interpret the meaning of these		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective					
scores.)		6%	50%	32%	12%					
		(n=≤10)	(n=17)	(n=11)	(n=≤10)					
	Social Studies		N	I/A						
	(Note: Data are not available –	Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective					
	new assessments being	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
	developed.)									
	English/Language Arts/Reading	0.8 (n=63)								
		Ineffective	Effective Emerging	Effective Proficient	Highly Effective					
	1	8%	41%	25%	25%					
		870	41/0	23/0	23/0					